

The Tragic One D Today

Author: Thomas Lloyd
TheEvenMind

This Article is about the **State** of the **Common** Today in **USA**

It is based on the **Fact** that: The **Common** in **USA** Today appears as just **One D**

By **One D** we mean **One Dimension (One D)**

One D is like: flat, only appearance, surface level only

The **Common** is: The Collective group of the people, and the Ways and Ideas
that they Share Together

If the Common does appear as just one d: flat, only appearance, surface level only,
then this sounds like it is very limited

In USA, a limited, one d common would not sound possible

There is a lot of variety in USA

Many people think many different things all of the time

So to call USA common limited does not sound possible

We think that calling USA common limited is too small

The vastness and fullness of USA is not limited

USA is a very big country

If USA common is called limited, then it could not be called stable

We need USA common to be stable for it's functioning

The common does not appear stable today

So This **Article** is called: **The Tragic One D Today**

About USA Functioning

There is so much variety in each of the **Fifty States USA**

We need **solid ground** for the **functioning** of each **State**

We cannot depend on a **common** that is not **stable**

If the common today is just one d, and therefore not stable, then this is

dangerous for the **common**

We don't want the common to be in danger

The **common** is: the collective group of the people, and the ways and ideas that they share together

When the common is in danger, then we think that the country is in danger

The state of the common today appears as just one d (one dimension)

The common in USA today is not stable, it appears as just one d

The one d is: flat, only appearance. surface level only

When the common is in danger, then we think that the country is in danger

The One D compared to Three D (3D)

All of our lives we have been familiar with the term **Three D (3D)**

Three D (3D) is **Three Dimensional**

The term **3D** is usually thought of as: full, complete, bright and attractive

3d is simple, we have known **3d** in nature all of our lives

The **definition** of **3d** is: horizontal, vertical and depth

This is also: height, width and depth

The **3d** can be **verified**: we can tell when something is **3d**

Examples are like: a river, a valley, a scenic view, the ocean

Tall trees are **3d**, like in the forest

These things mentioned, these **3d** examples, are not just **one d**

The one d is: superficial, abstract or surface level only

The **3d** examples: a river, a valley, a scenic view, the ocean, tall trees,
are **real**, and they serve a **purpose**

They are all some of the objects in nature

Also, usually, we like these things

So the **3d** is **more than** just **one d**

The Difference between One D and 3D

The **difference** between **one d** and **3d** is that **one d** is only **superficial**,
it cannot be **proved** or **verified**

One d is too **slim** to determine a **definition**

Therefore, the one d is only abstract

The one d, compared to the 3d, is inferior

The 3d is not flat, only appearance, surface level only

The state of the common today in USA appears as just one d

It appears flat, only appearance, surface level only

USA is a very big country, it cannot be just one d

The One D in USA Today

We have described the one d as flat, only appearance, surface level only

The state of the common today in USA appears as just one d

USA is a very big country

The collective common in USA is very grand

There is a lot of variety in USA

In USA there are many persons, places and things (noun)

There is a lot of variety in USA

For all of this variety in USA to be valid, to be stable and dependable, we would need a common that is stable and dependable

I don't think that we have that now

The state of the common today in USA appears as just one d

We think that the common in USA today is not stable or dependable

Therefore we think that it looks like one d

One d is flat, only appearance, surface level only

If the common today is just one d, then that is not good

Therefore we think that this is a **problem**

This **Article** is called: **The Tragic One D Today**

The Energy in The Common Today

As just one d: flat, only appearance, surface level only, then the energy in the common today would not be stable

We need the common in USA to be stable

If the common is not stable in USA today, then the energy of it would not be constant or dependable

We need the common in USA to be stable

So the general **view** of the common would be **unsure**

The common is very important for the country

The ways that people behave, act and manifest themselves are important to USA

The energy in the common today does not seem stable

We need the common in USA to be stable

The One D is Alarming

The state of the common in USA today is not stable

Then the **setting**, among the people in USA, is **alarming**

This seems directly related to the one d

One d is One Dimension only: flat, only appearance, surface level only

The **common** as just one d is **alarming**

A Story About USA Common Today

The one d is: **all things the same**

When all things are the same then this is like: linear or pre made

This is like: predictable, easily known, vague, iffy or confusion

So this would be meh or duh

Like this, the one d is **not safe**

The Practice of the One D

Today, on the streets, so many people practice the one d

One d is one dimensional only: flat, plain, static and lacking

Immediately, directly, the one d is entirely insincere

When the people practice the one d in public today, we can't tell

what it is that they do

The people are anxious, hasty, unkind, vague and rude

Sometimes it even seems that they design it this way

We don't see a good social order among the people today

A Personal Note:

Hey, I don't want to talk with someone that is practicing the one d

It is like they are practicing deliberate avoidance

I have worked, learned, tried and paid attention in public, but not for encountering bad company

The one d, then, is bad company

USA owes to be more than bad company

The state of the common in USA today appears as just one d

The Common and One D

If so many people practice the one d today, then the one d may be called common

This is not good: you cannot make common from one d

There is a lot of one d practice in the common today

This is wrong and superficial, so it is really outright dishonest

USA is a very big country, it cannot be just one d

This would imply the common practices deception, or even devious, which is obviously not safe

No one want to go out on the streets just to fall apart

Then the one d in common today is even cruel (help help)

A Main Point

Since the one d is around, and even common among the people, then this is too much

We are overwhelmed by or with the one d

Since this is such a magnificent concern, then we need to look at it

This would be a **main point**

If the people practice the one d, and they are unrelenting, then this probably spells ignorance

This has been going on for a long time

Ignorance is: not knowing

If the people don't know, and there are a lot of them, then that is still not convincing

Since the one d is such a magnificent concern, then we need to look at it

Seeking A Remedy for the One D

If the one d is around, and around so much, then it can be said that the one d is **rampant**

The one d is like a disease run wild

If the people are not checked, and this is not medical, then this is a big concern for the common

The one d is from the mind, so we need to check on the people
I have a suggestion for to check on the people

My Solution for the One D

Since we are civilized in USA, and we wish to live here, then the one d, in such a rampant amount, is an infraction of the law

Hey, it cannot be legal to practice the one d

It make no sense to spread one d in so many fashions

There is no sense in spreading one d, there is no logic in it and there is no good feeling in and with the one d

We have described the one d as: flat, only appearance or surface level only

So the **question** rises: **who is doing the one d?**

If so many people practice it, and they are unrelenting at practicing it, then we need to ask a direct question

This is my suggestion for the remedy of the one d

The Remedy Question

When people run wild, or anything runs wild, then it is not grounded, sound or self managed

Then this is out of control

Before this goes too far, we need to ask the **question**

That would be my suggestion for the remedy of the one d

The **question** is, for each person: **for whom is the one d?**

Afterall, there is so much one d around, and it spreads **confusion**

Also, immigrants are part of the spreading of it

We have described the one d as: flat, only appearance or surface level only

Then we must **ask** everybody to take the time to ask the **question:**

for whom is the one d?

The Reason is: with so much one d around, then a person has to guard himself

Each person has to guard himself

This is a must because there is so much one d around

And, omg, there is a lot of variety, especially with so many immigrants

Let us not forget that ninety percent (90%) of the people are in the mental health system (omg)

There is a lot of one d around

We did this long enough that it is time to start

Then the best suggestion that I can think of is:

the **question** for each person: **for whom is the one d?**

Each person must, with so much one d around, guard himself

About The Question

A person might **ask**: how do I practice the question or apply the question?

If the one d is around, and around so much, plus if one d is:

all things are the same, then the **question** can make sense

It can be a good idea asking the **question: for whom is the one d?**

The person could ask the question in a setting when seeing

the one d around or experiencing the one d

This can be when alone or around others

A possible answer for this question: for whom is the one d?

is: it is for a confused appearance, like a confused person

or group

No sound person would practice, directly, the one d

No natural person would want to settle for a one d experience

The appearance of the one d has to be slack or non observant

The one d only is not safe

Therefore, if someone encounters the one d, and needs or wishes to

ask the question: **for whom is the one d?** then we think that

this question can help

The One D and the I Thought

Each person has an I thought

This we recognize as: the ego or individual

The one d is usually for a confused I thought

Each person has an I thought (ego), and some people overdo
the presenting of it

This we have traditionally known as: having a big ego

This has been also traditionally known as: bragadocio or boasting

This is not generally healthy, and especially in the common

We cannot have a bunch of rampant I thoughts, a bunch of
abstract egos (individuals) running around, unchecked

in the common

It is entirely subtraction, this would be destructive for the common

We Don't have Two Commons

Hey, we don't have two commons

The common is precious and dear to all of us, so we all need to guard it

The best way that I know to guard the common I am suggesting here

It is the **question**, for each person: **for whom is the one d?**

If the answer is truthfully: 'not for me', then, whew, that could be a relief

If many people do not contribute to the one d, but maintain their person
more advanced, more developed, then there would not be so much
one d around

We hope yay

PART TWO: The Court and The One D

As we have said, the **one d** is **all things the same**

And we see this now in the **common**

And we see people **practicing** this in the common

The one d in the common leads to a **disarray**, and we see it
now in the common - this **disarray**, general **disarray**

The Court and It's Reach

The Court does oversee and manage the common

Then the Court is **responsible** for the common

There is the **argument** that: the Court designs the disarray
in people

We see this in **examples** like: trending, pop stars, celebrities,
the rich, discord, confusion, misinformation

The Court could even design such on the people

Sometimes it might be in deliberate lies

There is this **argument**

The Court and The Common

USA has done much wrong in the world, and the Court
extends its **reach** to these conflicts or complaints

Also, the Court is responsible for all of these immigrants

These immigrants are no help in these troubling times

They offer no help with the one d and its body of confusion

This does not make, directly, solid avoidance - no

We can see this by looking at the math (whoa)

The Court is responsible for the common, it extends its reach
to these conflicts or complaints

The Court does oversee and manage the common

Managing The Common

USA has, whoa, so much variety

And, also, with the internet, especially in the english language,
we are overwhelmed with the variety

Omg there is a lot of variety around

In the one d, especially as: all things the same, the common,
with so much confusion, could catch fire

If we don't take care of the common, then we could go mad

Also, we don't know this from before, like from our history

There is no teaching for us on how to manage going mad - no

This is a ghastly thought - going mad

The one d is rampant, like: all over, everywhere, so it seems to
be the **main player** in the common **disarray**

It looks like the **one d** is the **main player** in the common **disarray**

We see: non order and foul style by most people (rudeness)

This is all begging the question about: life on earth

And here, in USA, the one d serves no good purpose

We are a big country, and one d will not cover it

The Court does oversee and manage the common

The Question That We Suggest

As we have previously said, we would offer a remedy on this common disarray

The remedy that we have previous suggested is our question

The question is: for whom is the one d?

If people consider this question, and apply it if needed, then that could lessen the contributing to the disarray

If people check and see if they contribute to the disarray, to the general one d around, then that could lessen it

So we need the question: for whom is the one d?

We suggest this question for everyone

This is advice, this is my suggestion

It is the best way that I can think of for people to take responsibility for themselves

We think that there is no extra around, people must guard their own resources

This is: each man for himself by not making error

Hence, the needed question: for whom is the one d?

This could serve for guarding the common, by the people

About The Question

The question is: for whom is the one d?

Someone may want to know: why the investigation, why would they need or want the question: for whom is the one d?

This is because: now, the people ain't woke

No way, no how are the people paying attention

Then this is clearly not responsible

The people must be responsible for themselves

If we **wonder** about so much one d around, then we might wonder

why - why is the one d around?

Or **why** is there so much one d around?

Remember that: the one d, being superficial, like surface level only, then it is just a mass of confusion

Some of the **causes** could be: stress, like a stressed I thought, or they feel confused, burdened, neglected or challenged

These symptoms are not a help for the common man, and it is the common man that is the common itself (the people)

The variety around today in USA can be overwhelming

The Stress on The People

All of this variety around today is rather a burden

The people have so much to see, think and deal with

It is then possible that this could lead to stress

One possible result from such stress is: an overdone I thought

This is hard on people, these things are not good

These type of things are not exciting

Examples would be like a confused, burdened, neglected, challenged I thought

Probably the grand variety that we see around challenges people

In such a **mess**, there is a degree of madness or uncertainty

This madness, like the one d in the common being full of
confusion, can bring about a general mistrust

We don't want people to hate each other in public

All of this variety around today is rather a burden

The people have so much to see, think and deal with

Many Things Are Unknown

With so much variety around, and so many attempts to deal
with it or interpret it, then there are many unknown things around

We can never be sure of what people think to themselves

In the common disarray that we have, there are many unknown
things around

This is like: uncertain things or even lazy things

Some of the **descriptors** of this are from the one d, like short,
lazy, vague, iffy, aggressive, abstract or even deceitful

Nobody wants to suffer because of a bad person

We need to do our part to maintain the common, each one of us

We don't want people to fight with their people

We are all here and we want to get along

This is the design of the **Bill of Rights**

The people should get along together

It is hard now for people, with the one d around

Having so much confusion in it, then, like this, there are many
unknown things

We need to start, we need to knuckle down and get on the ball

We should all help to maintain the common

People need to practice to get along, getting along can be

an ok thing

An example would be that families do it, all of the time

Let's try to get along

Getting Along Together

As we say, the people should get along together

The best way that I know, then, a possible remedy, is

my suggestion: the question: for whom is the one d?

If people take responsibility for themselves, then we could have

a better situation - to manage and deal with the common

We grew up with it, so it is up to us to do our part

This is to take responsibility for ourselves

The **Bill of Rights** says: do not burden others

The question: for whom is the one d? is about the general confusion

This disarray or general disorder probably **spells**: not knowing

We do not have excellent lessons on managing the common

So proceeding as grounded, each person could have less difficulty in

navigating the setting, the places that they go

The setting is where the common is

The One D Actually

In actual, the one d is chock full of confusion

It is full of confusion and **non**

The **non** is like: **non** entity

The people in general are practicing the one d

In this unrelenting practice they are **non** entities

They are not they - they beg the term **definition**

The people in common are even offering a **non definition**

This, an abstract mass of confusion, and unrelenting, is
not a **person**

It might be called an **artificial person**

It is surely not the people that I grew up with - no

The one d is not good for people

What is The One D

So we wonder, then: what is the one d?

I think that it is not a noun (person, place or thing)

I mean, omg, who would pay for it?

If nobody would pay for it, then it appears totally **irresponsible**

If this is true, then all would be in **neglect**

If this is so, then, who could **blame** whom?

Omg, I mean, man, there would be no escape

Then darkness would rule over all

If the light goes out, then there is darkness

So, when wondering what is the one d, I think that, truly,

it is: nixt or nicht

The one d is not even the night - no

It is nixt or nicht, like **not there**

We see that, looking so slim, the one d is abstract, acidic,
ghastly, unorganized, even unmanaged

So truly, there can be no **design** or no **plan** for the actuality of
the one d

But, if destructive, it is not merely a mirage

The one d is a grave concern for us

We have to do our part, each one of us, not to spread the one d

So, when wondering what is the one d, I think that, truly,

it is nixt or nicht

It is not even the night - no

It is nixt or nicht, like **not there**

But still, the one d is a grave concern for us - USA

About Nothing There

Even though the one d appears as: **nothing there**, it is still
a concern

Even though it seems so abstract, so iffy, so disingenuous or
slack on caring, this doesn't mean that it just goes away - no

When there is an error, everybody remembers that you should fix it

Everybody, every time, remembers this

So if the one d is only confusion, only abstract, like the

grand abstract, and then, also, bad for us, then the question is:

Is there any **Good** in it?

Is there any **Good** in the one d?

Also important is the question: is there any way to **find out**
if there is any **Good** in it?

Omg, this is huge, as well as not good

Finding out about the one d is the only way to know or
understand the one d

Therefore, I suggest the question: for whom is the one d?

That is the only thing that I can think of for to remedy the one d

It might be, already, that the one d is so advanced that
people have no **choice** on it

Hey, if we lose our **choice** then that is no good

We really should keep our **choice** alive - the people

The one d is not good for people

Misleading to The People

The Court **owes** to oversee the common, the state of the common

This includes its **condition**

Also, this is not medical

This is **mind**, like the people are letting their **minds** run wild

That is not good

Therefore, since the common is full of the one d, and there
is no direct end in sight, then we cannot leave it this way

This would be **misleading to the people**

We don't want kids to grow up thinking one d is the way, or
that one d is all that there is - no

We have had better, and we owe to break even or better USA

It cannot be **standard** - the one d

I think that the one d cannot even house a **description**

Omg, that's slim, like to not be able to house a **description**

That's too slim

As the one d, we the people cannot establish **traction**

So then, without **traction**, then the one d is not USA - no

We need **traction** to keep up the USA

Therefore the one d is not likely to **continue**, but we cannot **lose**

then, to the one d

It would be eternal misery to be **swallowed** by the one d

The one d is a danger to all

Ending

We think that the one d encourages **vulnerable**

Then we should **halt** the one d

The one d in the common, this disarray, encourages disarray

We don't want a **chain** of one d - no

It should not **continue**

It is up to us to **not contribute** to the one d

This can help us to do our part: to be a good citizen by

avoiding the one d

To **stop short** of the one d is **not a bad thing**

The one d is not masculine - no

PART THREE: ONE D POEM

When looking at the one d, or speaking of it,

we see that it is singular

Even the name one d says that it is singular

The one d in the common could go flat

The common going flat would be a danger

This one d is like the term mono tone

It would be like: same same same, over and over,

again and again, so it is then singular

Continuing things cannot just stay the same

This would make it identifiable, like an easy target

Easy target is like a pushover or a sitting duck

Also, in this, we would see the term boring

As singular, or all things the same, it would be boring

Hence, there would be a danger of redundant

If something goes over and over, the same, then

after time it becomes Three on a match

We could see this in birds of prey

They prey on easy target, like: Three on a match

Shout shout, this is alarming

One D

The one d is mono tone, or singular, so it cannot be a cashcow

Hey, as one d it spells: superficial, surface level only,

short of offered

We could lose interest in this

So the one d is not safe

The mental health system

The mental health system is singular, like mono tone

Then it is also not a cashcow

This singular would include the term boring

We see the boring in the mental health system

The mental health system is pressed flat, or subdued

There is no real reason for the mental health system

It is more like incarceration

Since the mental health system is pressed flat, then

it is obviously one d

The mental health system is, then, also not a cashcow

Danger in the mental health system

As singular, or mono tone, the mental health system has

a danger

For example, the staff or agents could lose interest in it

They could wander, drift, get miffed or even betray or defect

The mental health system is, then, also not a cashcow

There is a danger in the one d

Short

In truth, the one d is like coming up short

This would be: vague or iffy so only one d

The one d could never be safe

The One D and christian

The one d looks very christian

The one d is thin or slim

As slim or thin, too thin or even christian, then

it cannot yield anything

The one d would have no product

Hey, look and see, check and see

As one d, it spells superficial, surface level only,

short of offered

The one d as christian, then, is also not a cashcow

There is a danger in the one d

Looking at the christian

The one d, looking singular or mono tone, seems christian

If it is: slim, thin, too thin then there is no product

That would then be: this spells no christian harvest

How could people do it if it doesn't pay?

Then you cannot gain from christian

The one d appears over and over as same same

Then it is redundant, so no product

Without product, without resolve, thing goes into decay

This is the math: it would not go anywhere

Therefore christian is no good

This equals non existent, like no substance or facts

So the one d is redundant, it cannot go anywhere

The one d is probably christian

This is scarce, slim, even fearful, like the cross

Statement

The tragic one d today is around, we see it everywhere

It is probably christian

So it is slim or thin, too thin

As one d, then, we think there is no product

That makes it incomplete, short, vague or iffy

Then the one d has no claim to exist

It cannot claim to be there if not present

Seeing the one d around does not make it present

With the one d in the common, nobody does the time

The people act anxious, frightful, even lunging

Then we see no good, and so it would then be incomplete

No good cannot yield actual

Nobody would support it, acknowledge it, appreciate it,

retain interest in it or pay for it

As one d, it spells superficial, surface level only,

short of offered

The one d, then, is not a cashcow

There is a danger in the one d

Summary

So the one d is a failure

Yet, it seems to stay around

This is negligent by the judges

The court extends its reach over these matters of conflict

The One D as monotonous

Its sound, the one d, is singular, therefore mono tone

Mono tone is monotonous: same same same, then redundant

This includes the word boring

Monotonous is boring, for the witness, viewer or listener

This is, then, the one d, by description:

The one d as monotonous

So we see it as: all things the same

We see it as mono tone

The one d, then, could never work

USA is big, the one d cannot be big

The question

The question may arise: if we see all things the same,

then, like how?

FACT

In life, everywhere, all things, everything:

fights and bites to keep its place

For example, the sun, the heart or the color white

Everything fights and bites to keep its place

All things, everywhere, fight and bite to keep its place

This is not one d - no

To keep its place is to protect

Protect like self or self stuff

Even we fight and bite to keep our place

We want to keep our integrity, our makeup, our constitution

We want to keep the us, like our me

We cannot see all things the same, not one d

Multi D

Multi d is not one d

We can see it in the name: multi d

An example of multi d would be 3d

We know this 3d: our world, our me or our us

These things are not one d only, not superficial

It is not just imagined, just suggested

Our life is important to us

Our me, our us, we mean it

Our life is important to us, this is not one d only

About self defence

Self defence is to protect, like self or self stuff

For example, self defence is not wimpy, a joke or

so easy

Surely, self defence is not one d

Self defence is real

Our me, our us, we mean it

Our life is important to us, this is not one d only

Self defence and our rights

Self defence is our right

We went to school, passed the test, year after year

We earned self defence, it is our right

It is our integrity, our makeup

We defend us because we want to, we want to continue

Most people want to live to an old age

Therefore, we protect ourselves

We guard ourselves to try for long life

This is probably for everybody on the planet

We want life

And to protect ourselves, like to defend ourselves,

this is our right

Hey, we earned it

We guard ourselves our whole life, so we earned it

Self defence is our right

We went to school, passed the test, year after year

The Point

The one d is too small, too limited

It is also, then, too vulnerable

With one d only, anything could happen

That is not civil, nor protection

The one d only, then, is not safe

So one d is alarming

We announce that the one d is alarming

We see it too much in the common now

The Confusion

On the street, everywhere, we see the confusion

Everybody is practicing the confusion

With one d only, probably as assigned, then

life is too vulnerable

The common is vulnerable with the one d around

It is not safe to try to navigate a confused setting

The streets are not safe with so much confusion

The one d is not safe

The immigrants

Hey, omg, have you seen the immigrants?

What a bunch of mess

They aren't from here, they don't know here, and

they don't know anything

We don't care if they say their country is great

We just don't care

With so much confusion around, the immigrants are no help

They don't speak english, our english

They don't know what it is: USA

And they then help to spread the confusion

We don't want this, it is no help

And we don't deserve this

We grew up here, did the program, and so we earned it

We earned USA

The immigrants are no good, they only spread the confusion

The one d is confusion

This is too much, the immigrants are no help

They don't pay their own way

We don't want to pay for the immigrants

No one could ever afford the learning curve of the immigrant

No no no

End Note

The one d is flat, only appearance, surface level only

As confusion, it is hard to navigate the one d

This is like walking in the busy transit center:

bump push maneuver

With one d having: all things are the same, then

it can be like confusion only, so difficult

We can't shoot all of our things out of one barrel:

right, left, center: all out of one barrel

Omg, no

This is rigid, frightful, scary even dangerous

The one d is not safe

Part Four: The One D and Politics

The one d is by definition: narrow and limited

Since the one d is around today, in the common,

then it has relationship with politics

The politics is related to the government

The Politics of USA

The politics is a peculiar thing, like:

deal making, debate, compromise and klout

We see the politics in the news all of the time

It appears as acidic by nature

This would be: competitive, time based, time strained,

edgy and unknown more or less

Hey, sometimes they don't even know the subject,

or its material

Being like this, then it would be madness only, like

a free for all - omg

About Politics

With things like changing opinions or administrations,

politics would be without anything definite

So it does appear as edgy, constrained, iffy or second hand

An example would be if a company couldn't solve a problem,

or solve it themselves, then they would call a repair man

This would be the politics: a repair man

And, we know, how often do we need a repair man?

Probably not often, because we would not want to pay for it

With this edginess, this acidic quality, then it would

contain some measure of confusion

Whoa, our topic is the common confusion, the one d

So then we see the politics as an element in or a player in

the common general confusion now

The People

The people seem to like the police

At least they say so and show so

The people practice the confusion in the common,

and they revel in the wars that the police make

We see this in the common today

For us, this is pigshit, the police

It is mindless, baseless, indulgent, and

shoot from the hip abstract

The police do not know much, and they don't know Good

And, this is in nothing - the general confusion in

the common today

Hence, we know already the pigshit

We see it all around in the common

They don't know anything, are not grounded, and

practice first move defensive

Since always looking for confession, then

the pigshit is a loser from the start

The People as a Factor

So, why do the people support pigshit?

It beats me, but we see it - all around and
all of the time

Then the people factor also in the spread of
the confusion

They like the pigshit

We think that the police are politically generated

Military Mind

The people seem aggressive and they think that
this is ok

This would show what we see around: military mind

Perhaps they are all trained in this

Hey, even the girls like to shoot guns now - Whoa

We hear of training, like guatemala terrorists

And this is not entirely foreign based

It seems to have some plan in its origin

For example, people says that their training

comes from sources like: clinton gets mentioned

He seems to be just a lol guy or worse

He probably only cares about spreading the confusion

Also, the replacement theory seems to be around

These kinds of thing are no help to us

Hey, do we just replace everything?

I mean, whoa, what about gop?

This is bloody base and looks like one D

An example would be: shoot all of your things
out of one barrel

Examples would be politics, people, pigshit,
immigrants

These are not all the same, not from the same barrel

Ending Note

Hey, we are not a nation of immigrants

The common can not be sustained and thrive
with negative influences around

We see many negative influences in the common today

The one d is not safe

The one d is at large

Part Five: The Common Poem

So here we talk about the one d

We see the one d around: by description, by manifestation, by practice,
by deliberate practice

This is: all things the same, linear, knowable or predictable

The one d is like meh or duh

It is the confusion that we see

Practice of One D

Now, so many people practice this one d

So, if it is, then, common now, so many people practicing this, even
dominant in the common, then we could ask the question:

But is it mindless, the practice of one d?

People do practice this one d, and we think that it is no help

So we would wonder: why do they practice this?

Is it mindless, the practice of one d?

My Answer

The most direct answer for this is that: the practice of the one d is for
a confused I thought

A confused I thought would be an ego or an individual

If we would wonder: why do they practice this?

Then a confused I thought would be the best guess

Well, omg, and especially omg in society

The practice of what constitutes confusion generally would spell

omg in society

It is surprising to see this in the common, in the togetherness

About the individual

We were always taught to avoid being a big ego

The individual as dominant is a hard act to keep up

So, how do we be an individual in the common?

Again, the individual is the ego or I thought

Is it from british psychology, like a british ego?

We don't want a british ego by assignment – no

So, if not this, then it would not be possible in the common

We are not supposed to see much of the individual in the common

Who could do it, like how do we be an individual in the common?

Is there room for that?

In the hustle and bustle of the common, how could this be?

Where is the room for it or the place for it in USA?

Omg, is it celebrity?

And would that one, like a person, be a confused I thought?

Afterall, the confusion abounds in the common today

Is the common just a group of mad individuals?

Why people go individual

So we wonder, why do we see this: the individual in public

Why is the individual in the common?

Where is: brother, countryman, friend, acquaintance or even neighbor?

Where is that?

We grew up with that teaching, that assignment: to act right, like
in the classroom – omg, we did

So we wonder, why do we see this: the individual in public

Why is the individual in the common?

How does it get this way

The Court designs disarray in people, like a scattering

We do not see the continuing

We look for signs like common sense or relationship, like

with the ways of things – in USA and in the world

Remember there are a lot of immigrants around

There are the ways of the world, like in the news

Perhaps we are not knowing the ways of the world

Hey, there are the ways of the world

So many immigrants say so

If confusion abounds, all over, all of the time, and

everywhere, then is the court having people spread confusion?

And let us not forget that the one d is around all over

This is like senseless ness, abstraction, vagueries, non notion

We see this in the common disarray today: the confusion,

the abstraction, the vagueries, the non notion individuals

We see this, like shoot from the hip, 1800's cowboys

Omg we see this

Perhaps this makes the common disarray

These things certainly do influence the common today

They contribute to the vague one d appearance that we see

Is there any intelligence in it

We think that: no, it is not intelligent

For example, we see the disarray: the non order, the distraction,
the wreckless ness and selfishness

We see so much abstract individual in public now

All of this is begging the question: is there any intelligence in it?

If we don't act right then it is hard to do

And also, with so much around, for whom is this mess?

Probably nobody wants to say that they cause it

It does appear doubled, or two times too much

So much confusion and variety of confusion

And so much absence, both the individual and the general

So the common seems to be a double mess or compounded

This is by the math

Why we have to ask the question

So it seems there is a call for the question:

Is there any intelligence in it or how did it get this way?

People have to care to be standard in the common

The male in society has obligation in the society

The one d is around, and it looks superficial

The one d is surface level only

It is not developed, not planned, so not really knowing

The one d in the common is not even knowable

The one d is not intelligent

A wonder about the one d

But some seems planned: the one d around in the common

For example, we have seen the guatemala terrorists around

We have seen the immigrants help to spread the confusion

This is no help

Then it looks like a free for all, a madness

So it would be, then, a danger: to the public, to the common good

The spread of the one d is a danger to the common welfare and

common defence

So we wonder how can it be?

About the common defence

The people are not helping the common defence

Hey, it looks like they are tearing the fence down

For example, in the common mind now, people might

think or say:

hey, let's de fence the house, and grab the chicken inside

The interpretation of common defence now could be hazy

This is possible, a misinterpretation of the common defence

With the common mind now, like with the confusion around,

the abounding confusion, it is hard to make out common sense

It is like a free for all in public

Omg, the ways that they act

I don't want to be a confusion generator or help spread the one d

The people ain't woke

Since this seems true, that people continue to spread the confusion,

the one d, then the people ain't woke

The people are not awake enough to do their part

Scattering the common by choice is not a good idea

This is not awake enough, this ain't woke by the people

Has the one d developed

So then, we could wonder about the idea of to develop

Does the one d go anywhere, or does it just stay this way?

Has the one d developed this way, like a plan?

Is this intelligent, developed intelligence, like from education?

Or is it more vague or iffy, sporadic, shoot from the hip abstract?

It seems fresh out of the hat, like just sporadic

The one d in common seems invented now, fresh nonsense, or

shoot from the hip abstract – feminine

This shows the one d as superficial or surface level only

It must have developed, the one d, something so big

It could not come from nowhere, there are descriptions of one d

So, the people ain't woke, they should do their part

Then who to blame

If so, so much general confusion, and, maybe planned, then

on whose watch?

Who on earth would want to cause such a mess?

The one d in common today is a mess – we see it

So, the blame?

There would probably be blame on this one

There would probably be blame on this one, on this one d

Was it agreed on or assigned?

I didn't see it in school

This is spelling the common confusion that we see around

There would probably be blame on this one

On whose watch is the people ain't woke?

No way, no how do we see it clearly

We do care about the common

Hey, life on earth is like: ma cared

We think that the people are acting irresponsibly

Personal obligation

There is obligation on earth for each one of us

With the birth that we get, we have duties too

We have to contribute some, to the creation

And we owe to help to sustain the creation too

We want our world to stay here

USA is one of the countries in the world

We see it in the news

Us in the creation

With USA as a player on earth, then where is the common?

We want a common to sustain the name USA

This is the sharing, the association, and the brotherhood

Symptoms of confused I thought

In the general confusion there is so much of the individual

People want this or that, but maybe it is not there

We do see the symptoms of confused I thought

The individual abounds in the common

Some symptoms are that the people are: stressed, confused,

burdened, misled, challenged or even upset

The people often seem like angry or unsatisfied

Maybe they are hungry for something

There is so much complaining and sqwauking

There is so much symptoms of confused I thought

Hungry individuals run around in the common a lot

Signs of an overdone I thought

It is funny to expect a lot from an I thought

Afterall, an I thought is just one thought

And from what we see in public, there are many thoughts around

Maybe they are asking too much of a little thing, the I thought?

This is not a way to stay small – no

In the common, it appears more like boasting or bragadocio

Then it might be distressed, the current ways of the common

So then there would be signs of an overdone I thought

We can't ask too much of a little thing

So many uncommon things

Hey, these things ain't common

We should get over it

In all of the madness in the common today, in the mix, with
the confusion around so much, we see so many uncommon things

We see kickers, sqwaukers, complainers, shock and immigrants

It can really seem like too much

So many uncommon things is like nowhere to go

Maybe people have nowhere to go

Are they trapped in the things that they do?

Our education showed us ways of the common

Today, it is like nobody knows nothing or nowhere to go

This would spell the confusion and it is no help

We have a history

We have a history, a way of things USA

We have never seen so many uncommon things in the common before

We see so many undefined things, uncertain things

We see things like the one d: short, vague, iffy or even deceit

And this is usually coming from not based

This is like unhinged: the common and the people, confused, not knowing,

abstract contradictions, so iffy or even deceitful

These are so many uncommon things that we see now

We see so many uncommon things in the common now

About the one d

All of these things that we see, all is the one d

This is bs, worthless, short or vague

So, we see here that there is the one d around a lot

We see here that the one d is a magnificent burden

Whoa, like huge

Then this would probably spell a problem or a concern

This is a concern for the common good, well being, general welfare
and defence

The common defence

Protection is appreciated by the people a lot

They do say this

How can the people sustain or manage and maintain
the common defence when they are tearing it apart?

The abstract acts of vagueness are no help to the common

Each one must do his part

The people are, by each act, defacing the common, the appearance

It is hard to see something left

Probably everybody has covered every topic

We think that there is no treasure here - omg

That's what it looks like

Then, they must be hard up – lol

How can the people sustain or manage and maintain
the common defence when they are tearing it apart?

More about the one d

In actual, the fact is that the one d is chock full of confusion

And it is also full of non, like the general non

Non is like non entity or nothing there

And this is not even negation, not saying no

It is like doing nothing in nothing

Standing still will not get the job done

Building nothing seems like christian

If the people ain't woke, if they don't know, then

what are they doing?

The male in society has to be awake to the society

Non is like a non entity, so, the non entity people

Without definition is non

This would be the one d: short or in want of definition

USA is not a mirage

This vagueness in the common is not a disguise

This cannot be a method of hiding or avoidance

It is more like shirking responsibility or irresponsible

USA is not a mirage, each one must do his part

So what is the one d

We wonder, then: so what is the one d?

To be simple, and direct, like to minimize the burden, then

we think that the one d is really nicht or zilch

Then this would be that there is nothing there

Without definition is non

The common is looking so slim, so abstract, acidic and unorganized,

that it is in disarray

The confusion is spread out all over

This disarray is scattered, even by intention or design

It is like intentional or deliberately unmanaged

This is slack like bad at job

It seems like there is no treasure for sure

On nothing there

If we see only confusion, like the grand abstract, now and then
the idea comes that this is the bad for people

So, then, is there any Good in it?

Also, is there any way to find out if there is Good in it?

If there is only confusion, then how can we think straight?

We don't want chances to get slim

It is good to keep the ball rolling

Continuing is a good idea

Misleading to people

It would be misleading to people to leave it this way

We should not have the common disarray by the confusion

The confusion is alienating

Hey, where is the Good?

People can't look for it

It is like bad for the health

This cannot be the standard, the way of confusion

It is not good for the kids

Perhaps kids are being raised this way

Omg, sad

This would be misleading to people, to USA

We don't want confusion as standard

The one d constructs confusion as standard

So we do not want the one d

We cannot have the one d as standard

We wonder about the one d

This is not good – the one d

This is not traction, so this is not USA

USA is not confusion, not a mirage

We are raised on many facts

If george washington is confusion, then he ain't USA

We don't fancy not knowing what revenue is

Tech age reflection

If this is tech age now, then we owe to know many new things

And in english too – whoa

This is a lot for the people, like cell phone and computer

Fancy appliance and autos are high tech too

Since tech age is so much, like cell phone and computer, and

confusion abounds, all over, then this is not likely to continue

It would be hard for this to make it

There is so much and also so much confusion

There is almost a want for confusion, desire for confusion

Ignorance is no way out of duty or obligation

We cannot have this nor sustain this

We think that the confusion hinders USA

This is tech age

Hindering USA

It hinders USA, like eats at it, this confusion

So the confusion is destructive

We don't want USA in confusion

So, things that are not good for the people, then, are

a danger to all

Hey, I do good yet I have a hard time

It is so hard out there these days

Why, because the confusion abounds, there is much of it

The common confusion builds and encourages vulnerable

This would hinder USA

That is a danger then, this is the one d

It is undeveloped so not good enough

The one d cannot be standard

We don't want the one thing to be just the one d

There must be more

The one d we see as the general confusion

This hinders USA

This spells the disarray in the common and it encourages the disarray

In the common the streets are not safe

In the common the streets must be safe

The one d hinders USA

About the little things

It is not good that the people have to think a lot about the little things

We mean things like the day to day things

This is excessive and USA is a big country

Generally, and in the tech age, this is excessive

The confusion in the common is excessive for now

We cannot have the confusion sustained in the common

We don't want one d as the standard in the common - not in USA

It is not good that the people have to think a lot about the little things

We mean things like the day to day things

One d is not a good choice

The one d is surface level only, like what you see is what you get

So, this is, then, not going anywhere

Nor is this able to develop – not directly

In not good enough, we see the one d

So, short short, and so vague

This is not fashionable, this is not a good choice

So the one d is not a good choice

The one d in public

In public, there seems to be no recognition of it – the one d

We see that the confusion abounds

Also, then, there is no conversation about it

This is no good

The one d is definitely here, among us, in USA

The confusion is here, perhaps intentionally so

Hey, the people are eating it up

This is no good

This is not fashionable, this is not a good choice

So the one d is not a good choice

Ending

There are things that we have to get done in USA

One main thing is manage country

In USA, the one d, with its confusion, is not a help

The one d is not a good choice

We want good in the common to be primary

That can be good for everybody

THE END